Hearing Date:

Hearing Time:

☐ All Debtors
☑ USA Commercial Mortgage Company
☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC

☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC

☐ USA Securities, LLC

24

25

26

^{224222.1} 429653V2

October 15, 2007

9:30 a.m.

Dr. Dennis Campton filed Proof of Claim No. 10725-01074 against USA Commercial Mortgage Company in the amount of \$145,600.87. The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") hereby objects to Dr. Campton's claim. The basis for the claim is shown on its face and in the attachment as an investment in USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("FTDF"). Accordingly, it is a claim that was incorrectly filed in the USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM") case. The USACM Trust respectfully requests that this claim be disallowed as a claim against USACM. Any claim held by Dr. Campton against USACM solely on account of his investment in FTDF is derivative of FTDF's claims against USACM. Under a settlement approved by the Court on June 12, 2007, all such FTDF claims were settled, with FTDF holding a \$7.0 million allowed general unsecured claim and beneficial interest in the USACM Liquidating Trust. The equity interest holders in FTDF will share in any recovery on account of that claim.

The Official Committee of Equity Security Holders of FTDF ("FTDF Committee") further objects to any allowance of Dr. Campton's claim as a claim against the FTDF estate.

Bankruptcy Code section 502 authorizes a party in interest to object to claims. See 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Upon such objection, this Court, "after notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition" 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). Although a proper proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f), once an objection controverts the presumption, the creditor has the ultimate burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consoldiated Pioneer Mortg.), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), aff'd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996) (quoting In re Allegheny International, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)).

The FTDF Committee has analyzed the Campton claim and has determined that it has been incorrectly designated as a "proof of claim." Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code

section 502, a "creditor . . . may file a proof of claim." An equity security interest holder, however, must file a proof of interest. See 11 U.S.C. § 501(a). Bankruptcy Code section 101(16) defines "equity security" to include a "share in a corporation, whether or not transferable or denominated 'stock', or *similar security*" or a "warrant or right . . . to purchase, sell, or subscribe to a share, security, or interest" of a share in a corporation. 11 U.S.C. § 101(16) (emphasis added). See also Nev. Rev. Stat. §86.521 (upon dissolution of a limited liability company, a limited liability company must pay liabilities to creditors before paying liabilities in respect of profits and/or capital to members of the limited liability company).

The Campton claim clearly reflects that it is based on its membership in the FTDF and not based on any debt the claimants hold against the FTDF. For example, annexed to the Campton claim is an account statements that lists the number of shares Dr. Campton holds in the FTDF, the price of such shares, and the FTDF Member's account identification number. Membership interests and/or shares in the FTDF clearly fall within the definition of "equity security" under Bankruptcy Code section 101(16). Thus, the holders of the equity interests are equity security interest holders of the FTDF and do not hold unsecured claims against the FTDF estate.

In addition, Dr. Campton filed the Campton claim using the amount described as "book value" on investor statements provided to FTDF members by the FTDF. "Book value" as listed on investor statements is not the proper measure of an FTDF member's equity interest in the FTDF. An FTDF member's equity interest is the amount that the FTDF member has invested in the FTDF, either through the purchase of shares or the reinvestment of dividends. In contrast, the "book value" listed on an FTDF Member's investor statement simply represents an estimate of the FTDF's net assets on a given day divided by the number of shares outstanding. Because it is an estimate that constantly changes, "book value" should not be the benchmark used to determine each FTDF

ROCA LAWYERS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

member's interest in the FTDF. The amount of each FTDF Member's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date is a value that is a fixed amount that is readily ascertainable from the Debtors' books and records. Therefore, since Dr. Campton asserts an amount in excess of Dr. Campton's equity interest in the FTDF as of the Petition Date, on account of the "book value" listed on an investor statement, i.e., \$145,600.87, Dr. Campton should retain his equity interest in the FTDF, but only in the amount as of the Petition Date, which is \$140,000.

This objection does not seek to prejudice the rights of Dr. Campton as an FTDF member to recover from the FTDF estate on account of his membership interest of \$140,000. Dr. Campton shall retain his interest in FTDF in the amount of \$140,000 as reflected in the books and records of FTDF. Indeed, Dr. Campton has received distributions based on this FTDF membership interest to date, with no objection.

Accordingly, the USACM Trust and the FTDF Committee seek entry of an order that will disallow the Campton claim against USACM, disallow any proposed allowance of the Campton claim in the FTDF case, and appropriately allow Dr. Campton to retain his equity interest in the FTDF in the amount of \$140,000 as reflected in the books and records of FTDF as of the bankruptcy petition filing date.

///

///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 /// 24 ...

25 ///

26

	LE 0995 06-10725-gwz Doc 4452 Entere	ed 08/08/07 16:45:51 Page 5 of 5
	ROCA	
	L A W Y E R S	
1	This objection is made pursuant to Ba	ankruptcy Code section 502, Federal Rule of
2	Bankruptcy Procedure 3007, and Local Rule	of Bankruptcy Practice 3007.
3	Dated: August 8, 2007.	
4	,	
5	By: <u>/s/ Eve H. Karasik</u> FRANK A. MEROLA (CA State Bar	By: /s/ Rob Charles (#006593) SUSAN M. FREEMAN
6	No. 136934),	ROB CHARLES
7	EVE H. KARASIK (CA State Bar No. 155356), and	LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
8	CHRISTINE M. PAJAK (CA State Bar	Las Vegas, NV 89169-0961
9	No. 217173), Members of STUTMAN, TREISTER & GLATT, P.C.	Facsimile (702) 949-8321 Telephone (702) 949-8320
10	1901 Avenue of the Stars, 12th Floor	COUNSEL FOR USACM Liquidating
11	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 228-5600	Trust
12	• , ,	
13	and	
	CANDACE C. CARLYON	
14	Shea & Carlyon, Ltd. 701 Bridger, Suite 850	
15	Las Vegas, NV 89101	
16	Telephone: (702) 471-7432 COUNSEL FOR THE	
17	OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF EQUITY	
18	SECURITY HOLDERS OF USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED	
19	FUND, LLC	
20	Copy of the foregoing	
21	Mailed this 8th day of	
22	August, 2007 to:	
23	Dr. Dennis Campton, M.D.	
24	5741 Ken S Place Pahrump, NV 89060	
25	-	
26	By /s/ Renee L. Creswell	
_0		

224222.1